

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF AIR POLLUTION APPEAL BOARD OF THE CITY OF LANSING

Proceedings, September 26, 1962

A meeting of the Air Pollution Appeal Board was held Wednesday evening, September 26, 1962, at 7:30 p.m., in Room 136, City Hall.

Present: Board Members Ansley, Bintz, Lee, Oeming, Ray and Tableman—6.

Absent: Board Member Crist—1.

Also present were Mr. Collier and Mr. Dean, representing Centrifugal Fusing; Mr. Martin, representing Motor Wheel Corporation; Mr. Taber, Assistant City Attorney; Mr. I. H. Davis and Mr. B. D. Bloomfield, Division of Occupational Health, Michigan Department of Health; and interested citizens.

The Secretary announced that a quorum of the Board was present. It was moved by Mr. Bintz, seconded by Mr. Oeming, that we constitute ourselves as an Appeal Board to hear an appeal from Centrifugal Fusing regarding their citation.

Motion carried.

Mr. Collier spoke for Centrifugal Fusing. He asked what assurance they had that if they completed contemplated changes they would not be cited again in the future. The building they occupy is leased from Motor Wheel Corporation and that Corporation furnishes the cupolas for the operation and must pay for any changes.

Mr. Martin spoke for Motor Wheel. He, too, wanted to know whether they had any assurance that the suggested solution would settle the problem.

Mr. Pesterfield replied that he cannot guarantee anything. Only specialists in the field can guarantee that they will meet the Board's standards.

Mr. Davis was asked for comments on the proposed solution. He summarized his beliefs about the problem in general. Most cupolas in the country have either dry devices or none at all. The Health Depart-

ment has had problems with wet cap collectors as well. Wet caps will improve the situation and remove the larger particles but will not improve the effluent or remove the small particles. He does not share the belief that a double cap will improve the efficiency of the unit. Wetting agents have had limited success. He feels that the Board should decide how far the company should go. He believes that in order to make any noticeable benefit in the cupolas they will have to meet a standard of 0.1 grains per cubic foot of air at standard conditions.

Mr. Martin asked whether temperature inversion had any effect on a similar Detroit problem and whether that situation compares with the Centrifugal Fusing problem. Mr. Davis could not say definitely but he felt that it probably did.

Mr. Tableman commented on the Board's position.

Mr. Ansley closed the discussion and told the Appeal Board that he believed they had three choices: To drop the citation, to hold it in abeyance or to prosecute. It was moved by Mr. Bintz, seconded by Mr. Ray, that the citation be held in abeyance for sixty (60) days.

Ayes—5. Nays—1. Motion carried.

It was moved by Mr. Oeming, seconded by Mr. Bintz, that the meeting of the Appeal Board be adjourned and that the Board convene in regular session.

Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNARD ANSLEY,
Chairman

NORMA DONOVAN,
Secretary.